

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,892	2,892 03/31/2004		Dante Patrick Bonaquist	D-21321-1 4977	
27182	7590	02/22/2006		EXAMINER	
PRAXAI	R, INC.		NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
LAW DE	PARTMEN'	Γ - M1 557			
39 OLD RIDGEBURY ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DANBURY, CT 06810-5113				2832	
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Office Antique Occurrence	10/812,892	BONAQUIST ET AL.		m					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-						
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	nuary 2006.	•							
<u> </u>	action is non-final.								
·—	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
·									
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) <u>15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	•							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	I-(d) or (f)							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 05 0.0.0. § 115(a)	-(u) or (i).							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		<u></u>	220						
·		iu iii tiiis National St	age						
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u							
	•								
Attachment(s)	_								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	(PTO-413)								
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		52)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/51/64.	6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1/10/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stubblefield et al. [US 4,173,746].

Stubblefield et al. discloses an apparatus for cooling a power transformer comprising:

- a storage vessel [12];
- a power transformer [14] having a radiator structure [22]; and
- means for passing cooling liquid from the storage vessel to the power transformer, the means comprising conduit means [28] having at least one spray nozzle [32] for spraying cooling liquid onto the power transformer radiator structure.

Stubblefield discloses a pumping structure [30] for pumping the cooling liquid. Stubblefield inherently discloses a valve.

Stubblefield et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the cooling liquid being cryogen.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use cryogen liquid for the cooling liquid of Stubblefield for the purpose of improving cooling.

Regarding claim 17, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include more than one spray nozzles in Stubblefield for the purpose of proving better heat transfer.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,892 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIN TAN

Tuyan Nguyla